

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					_
APPLICATION NO.	FILE	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,966	05/04/2001		Hiroyuki Hyodo	2803-65479 9327	
7590 05/14/2004				EXAMINER	
Patrick G Bur	rns Esq	RESAN, STEVAN A			
Greer Burns &	Crain Ltd				
300 S Wacker Dr Suite 2500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60606				1773	

DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	09/849,966	HYODO ET AL.					
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stevan A. Resan	1773					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	arch 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
<u>:</u>							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-9,11-18 and 20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Trom consideration.						
6) Claim(s) 1,2,49,11-13, 18 and 20 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	•						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 1773

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11 December 2003 has been entered.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1,2,4-9,11-13,18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Veerasamy et al US 5858477 taken in view of Veersamy et al US 5,303,225 and Takahashi et al JP 10-143836 for the reasons of record.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 11 December 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants have amended claims 4, 11 and 20 to also include the limitation that the carbonaceous layer is formed by a Filtered Cathodic Arc process and argue that the present claims all now require the formation of the carbonaceous layer by a Filtered Cathodic Arc process. However process limitations carry no weight in article claims unless they can be shown to produce a patentable article.

Applicants argue that the selective incorporation of nitrogen in the '477 patent is only intended to vary the electrical conductivity. However if it is obvious to add

Art Unit: 1773

nitrogen for one reason it is obvious to add for all reasons. Applicants also argue that the nitrogen is doped and therefore there is apparently no gradual increase in the nitrogen concentration from the substrate side to the upper surface.

However it is the examiner's position that doping inherently distributes the nitrogen in an inclined concentration gradually increasing from a bottom surface side to a top surface side in the carbonaceous protective layer since nitrogen is impacting the upper surface. Since not all nitrogen atoms will have the same energy, the most energetic will penetrate the farthest into the surface while a declining number will penetrate less far. Depending upon the thickness of the carbon layer this will result in a gradually increasing concentration from the bottom surface to the top surface or from the point of maximum penetration (which may be half the thickness) to the upper surface.

Applicants also argue that in the '225 patent nitrogen is doped in an upper portion of the DLC layer and that there is no "gradual increase". However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have an inclined concentration gradually increasing from a bottom surface side to a top surface side in the carbonaceous protective layer (as taught by Takahashi- See [0045]) for the reasons of record or which occurs as explained above.

Applicants also argue that the "large" amount of nitrogen doped into the DLC layer in the '226 patent causes a reduction in hardness however there is no evidence of record to support this argument and no criticality of the nitrogen concentration asserted.

Art Unit: 1773

Applicants agree that Takahashi JP'836 does teach that nitrogen may be contained in a concentration gradient in the thickness direction of the carbon layer with the concentration highest at the upper surface but argue that the carbon layer is formed by a different process and that when the carbon layer is produced at a reduced thickness of 5 nm or less high durability cannot be obtained. However a thickness limitation is not claimed nor any comparative data to support this argument.

Finally applicants argue that the adhesion between the carbon layer and the lubricant layer can be improved while the hardness is maintained. However the examiner notes that applicants' favorable results were derived from embodiments having more specific structure than presently claimed i.e. specific simultaneous ranges of thickness, nitrogen content and contact angle of the upper surface.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stevan A. Resan whose telephone number is 571-272-1513. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Thurs from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau, can be reached at 571-272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 1773

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

STEVAN A. RESAN PRIMARY EXAMINER